### Synthesis and Crystal Structure of the New High-Pressure Phase CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

# Johanna S. Knyrim,<sup>[a]</sup> Holger Emme,<sup>[a]</sup> Markus Döblinger,<sup>[a]</sup> Oliver Oeckler,<sup>[a]</sup> Matthias Weil,<sup>[b]</sup> and Hubert Huppertz<sup>\*[c]</sup>

**Abstract:** The use of high-pressure/ high-temperature conditions (7.5 GPa and 1100 °C; Walker-type multianvil apparatus) led to the synthesis of a new cadmium borate  $CdB_2O_4$ , starting from stoichiometric mixtures of the oxides. The crystal structure was determined on the basis of single crystal Xray diffraction data, to reveal the hexagonal space group  $P6_3$  with a = 885.2(2), c=716.72(8) pm, Z=8,  $R_1=0.0178$ , and  $wR_2=0.0388$  (all data). CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is built up from interconnected layers of BO<sub>4</sub>-tetrahedra and exhibits for the first time the basic structure of

**Keywords:** borates • structure elucidation • electron diffraction • highpressure chemistry • multianvil a family of compounds, represented by  $BaGa_2O_4$ ,  $KAlSiO_4$ ,  $KGeAlO_4$ ,  $KCoPO_4$ ,  $CaP_2N_4$  and the recently discovered  $SrP_2N_4$ . The lack of superstructure ordering in  $CdB_2O_4$  was confirmed by electron diffraction. Additionally, a B–O–B angle of 180° was found in this borate for the first time.

#### Introduction

In the last few years, detailed investigations into the highpressure chemistry of oxoborates has led to a large diversity of new polymorphs and compositions. In the field of rareearth oxoborates, we synthesised new high-pressure polymorphs like  $\chi$ -*REBO*<sub>3</sub> (*RE*=Dy, Er<sup>[1]</sup>),  $\gamma$ -*REBO*<sub>3</sub> (*RE*=La-Nd<sup>[2,3]</sup>) and  $\delta$ -*REBO*<sub>3</sub> (*RE*=La, Ce<sup>[4,5]</sup>). With 3*d*-transition metals and main group metals, polymorphs like  $\beta$ -*MB*<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (*M*=Mn,<sup>[6]</sup> Ni ,<sup>[6]</sup> Cu,<sup>[6]</sup> Zn ,<sup>[7]</sup> Ca,<sup>[8]</sup> Hg<sup>[9]</sup>) and the new noncentrosymmetric  $\delta$ -BiB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>[10]</sup> could be prepared. The compounds  $\beta$ -SnB<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>[11]</sup> and  $\beta$ -*MB*<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (*M*=Hf,<sup>[12]</sup> Zr<sup>[13]</sup>) are the first representatives of ternary phases in the according borate systems.

Under high-pressure/high-temperature conditions, also new structural features are observed.  $RE_4B_6O_{15}$  (RE=Dy, Ho),<sup>[14–16]</sup>  $\alpha$ -*RE*<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (*RE*=Sm-Ho),<sup>[17–19]</sup> and the recently found HP-NiB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>[20]</sup> show, besides new compositions, the new strucural motif of edge-sharing BO<sub>4</sub>-tetrahedra. HP-NiB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is the first borate, in which every BO<sub>4</sub>-group shares one common edge with an adjacent BO<sub>4</sub>-tetrahedron.

In connection with recent high-pressure studies on phase formation and crystal chemistry of the group IIb tetraborates  $\beta$ -ZnB<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (orthorhombic, *Cmcm*)<sup>[7]</sup> and  $\beta$ -HgB<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (orthorhombic, *Pmn2*<sub>1</sub>),<sup>[9]</sup> we have turned our attention to the high-pressure and high-temperature synthesis of phases in the system CdO–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Until now only three cadmium borates have been structurally characterised at ambient pressure; the tetraborate CdB<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>,<sup>[21]</sup> a member of the isotypic *M*B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> family (orthorhombic, *Pbca*) with *M*=Mg,<sup>[22]</sup> Mn,<sup>[23]</sup> Co,<sup>[24]</sup> Zn,<sup>[25]</sup> and Hg,<sup>[26]</sup> the orthoborate Cd<sub>3</sub>-(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[27,28]</sup> which adopts the kotoite (Mg<sub>3</sub>(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sup>[29]</sup> structure type (orthorhombic, *Pnnm*) and the diborate CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>,<sup>[30]</sup> which is isotypic with its Mg<sup>[31]</sup> and Co<sup>[24]</sup> analogues (triclinic, *P*I).

Under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions, we have now obtained a new cadmium borate with the formula  $CdB_2O_4$ . We report the synthesis and the crystal structure of this compound, including a comparative discussion concerning the related structures of  $BaGa_2O_4$ ,<sup>[32]</sup> KAlSiO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[33]</sup> KGeAlO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[34,35]</sup> KCoPO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[36]</sup> CaP<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub><sup>[37]</sup> and SrP<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>.<sup>[38]</sup> In contrast to  $CdB_2O_4$ , all these phases form a superstructure, whereas  $CdB_2O_4$  exhibits the basic structure of this family for the first time.



<sup>[</sup>a] J. S. Knyrim, Dr. H. Emme, Dr. M. Döblinger, Dr. O. Oeckler Department Chemie und Biochemie Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München Butenandtstrasse 5–13 (Haus D), 81377 München (Germany)
[b] Prof. Dr. M. Weil Institut für Chemische Technologien und Analytik Technische Universität Wien Getreidemarkt 9/164-SC, 1060 Wien (Austria)

<sup>[</sup>c] Prof. Dr. H. Huppertz
Institut für Allgemeine, Anorganische und Theoretische Chemie Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck
Innrain 52a, 6020 Innsbruck (Austria)
Fax: (+43)512-507-2934
E-mail: hubert.huppertz@uibk.ac.at

#### **Results and Discussion**

**Crystal structure**:  $CdB_2O_4$  is built up exclusively from corner-sharing  $BO_4$ -tetrahedra, forming layers, which are interconnected to a three-dimensional network. The layers spread out perpendicularly to [001] and consist of "sechser" rings (a ring with six tetrahedral centers)<sup>[39,40]</sup> (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Crystal structure of  $CdB_2O_4$  with a view along  $[00\bar{1}]$ . Grey spheres represent Cd-atoms, white spheres O-atoms; light-shaded polyhedra show BO<sub>4</sub>-groups.

These rings show two types of topologies with respect to the orientation of the containing tetrahedra (U=up, D=down): one fourth of the rings reveals an UDUDUD topology, and the remaining rings adopt an UUUDDD topology. Figure 2 gives a view of the two arrangements inside of a layer.



Figure 3. Crystal structure of  $CdB_2O_4$  with a view along [100]. Adjacent layers are shown in different colours. Grey spheres represent Cd-atoms and the white spheres O-atoms.

Figure 4 displays the coordination sphere of the Cd<sup>2+</sup>ions. Cd1 is surrounded by six oxygen atoms in the range of 218–277 pm with an average value of 223.4 pm. This value is smaller than the average Cd–O distance of 231.9 pm in Cd<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub><sup>[30]</sup> or 232.9 pm in Cd<sub>3</sub>(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[27]</sup> which both exhibit cadmium in a six-fold coordination as well. However, Cd2 possesses 12 (9+3) next-near neighbours with Cd–O distances from 234.1(2) to 285.0(2) pm with a mean distance of 257.4 pm. As far as we know, no cadmium borate with a comparably high coordination-sphere at a Cd<sup>2+</sup>-ion has been described up to now.



Figure 2. One layer of  $CdB_2O_4$  consists of two different types of "sechser" rings<sup>[39,40]</sup> (topology: UUUDDD, UDUDD). White spheres represent O-atoms. Light-shaded BO<sub>4</sub>-polyhedra face downwards (D), dark-shaded polyhedra face upwards (U) (view along [001]).

The layers are interconnected through the atoms O1 and O2, building further  $B_6O_6$ -"sechser", as well as  $B_4O_4$ -"vierer"-rings. Figure 3 shows the connection of the layers, whereas adjacent layers are marked in white and grey for better demonstration. The layers are equivalent and can be transformed into one another by a rotation of 60° along [001] and a translation of  $[00^{1}/_{2}]$ .



Figure 4. Coordination spheres of Cd1 and Cd2. Distances are given in pm.

Within the BO<sub>4</sub>-network, the B–O bond-lengths reach from 139 to 150 pm with a mean value of 147.3 pm, which corresponds to the known average value of 147.6 pm for B–O distances in BO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra.<sup>[41,42]</sup> The O–B–O bond angles range from 107.4(2) to 112.8(2)° with a mean value of 109.5°. Additionally, we calculated bond-valence sums for  $CdB_2O_4$  with the bond-length/bond-strength and CHARDI concepts<sup>[43-45]</sup> (Table 1). The formal ionic charges of the atoms, as calculated from the results of the X-ray structure analysis, were in agreement within the limits of both concepts.

Table 1. Charge distribution in  $CdB_2O_4$  calculated with the bond-length/ bond-strength concept ( $\Sigma V$ ) and the CHARDI concept ( $\Sigma Q$ ).

	U	1 ( )	1 1 1			
	Cd1	Cd2	B1	B2	B3	B4
$\Sigma V$	1.94	2.21	3.16	3.06	3.00	2.96
ΣQ	2.00	1.96	3.04	3.15	2.95	2.99
	O1	O2	O3	O4	O5	O6
$\Sigma V$	-1.87	-2.07	-2.03	-2.06	-2.00	-1.93
$\Sigma Q$	-1.96	-2.10	-2.02	-1.98	-2.04	-1.88

**Related compounds**: The structure of  $CdB_2O_4$  is closely related to the structure-type of the compounds  $BaGa_2O_4$ ,<sup>[32]</sup> KAISiO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[33]</sup> KGeAIO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[34,35]</sup> KCoPO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[36]</sup> CaP<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[37]</sup> and SrP<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[38]</sup> Both structure types consist of layers of tetrahedra, forming "sechser" rings<sup>[39,40]</sup> with an identical orientation of the tetrahedra. Excepting CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, all other compounds form a superstructure, which means that CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is the first representative, showing a threefold smaller basic cell. Table 2 lists the lattice parameters of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in com-

Table 2. Lattice parameters of  $CdB_2O_4$  and related structures, all in space group  $P6_3$ .

	Ref.	<i>a</i> [pm]	<i>c</i> [pm]	<i>V</i> [nm <sup>-3</sup> ]
CdB <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>		885.2(2)	716.72(8)	0.486(1)
$BaGa_2O_4$	[32]	1864.03(7)	868.01(2)	2.6119(2)
KAlSiO <sub>4</sub>	[33]	1811.11(8)	846.19(4)	2.4037(2)
KGeAlO <sub>4</sub>	[34,35]	1841.3(1)	859.0(1)	2.5222(2)
KCoPO <sub>4</sub>	[36]	1820.6(1)	851.35(8)	2.4438(2)
$CaP_2N_4$	[37]	1684.66(4)	785.5(2)	1.9307(1)
SrP <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	[38]	1710.29(8)	810.318(5)	2.05270(2)

parison to related compounds. The superstructure can be obtained from the basic structure by the following lattice transformation:  $a_{\text{super}} = 2a_{\text{basic}} + b_{\text{basic}}$ ;  $b_{\text{super}} = -a_{\text{basic}} + b_{\text{basic}}$ ;  $c_{\text{super}} = c_{\text{basic}}$ . This corresponds to an isomorphic transition of index 3. Figure 5 shows the crystal structure of  $\text{SrP}_2\text{N}_4$  (*P*6<sub>3</sub>) with the position of the according subcell of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

In SrP<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, this superstructure is caused by avoiding one P–N–P angle of 180° at nitrogen N15. The isotypic compounds  $BaGa_2O_4$ ,<sup>[32]</sup> KAlSiO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[33]</sup> KGeAlO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[34,35]</sup> KCoPO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[36]</sup> and  $CaP_2N_4^{[37]}$  do not show the linear arrangement at the corresponding position. By contrast, the associated B–O–B angle in CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> adopts a value of 180° at oxygen O1 on thermal average (Figure 6). From our knowledge, this is the first example of a linear B–O–B arrangement in the structural chemistry of borates. There exists a molecular compound divinylborinic anhydride [(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH)<sub>2</sub>B]<sub>2</sub>O, in which the vibrational data indicate that the molecule possesses  $C_1$ 

## FULL PAPER



Figure 5. Structure of  $SrP_2N_4^{[38]}$  with a view along [001]. Polyhedra represent  $PN_4$  tetrahedra, white spheres show  $Sr^{2+}$ -ions. The according basic unit cell of  $CdB_2O_4$  is drawn in thick black lines.



Figure 6. Linear environment of O1 in  $CdB_2O_4$  drawn with 90% thermal probability ellipsoids.

symmetry and a linear B-O-B angle.<sup>[46]</sup> In a theoretical work, Geisinger et al. investigated the potential energy curves for molecules, containing a B-O-B group.<sup>[47]</sup> These results showed that a linear arrangement is energetically unfavourable. In other solids, comparable linear arrangements with different atoms could be found in silicates as zunyite  $Al_{13}Si_5O_{16}(OH,F)_{15}O_4Cl$ ,<sup>[48]</sup> thortveitite  $Sc_2Si_2O_7^{[49]}$  or (Ag- $SiO_4$ )·Ag<sub>10</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub><sup>[50]</sup> and in the nitridosilicate Ba2Nd7Si11N23.[21] Liebau examined the influence of thermal vibrations on the Si-O-Si bond-angles<sup>[39]</sup> with the following observation: the stronger the displacement at the bridging atom, the smaller the deviation from linearity.<sup>[39,52]</sup> Further analysis of the thermal displacement parameters at the bridging atom showed that the oscillation amplitude, perpendicular to the T-T-vector (T=tetrahedral centre), is considerably larger. Figure 6 gives a view of the linear B-O-B arrangement in CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> drawn with 90% thermal probability ellipsoids. It also shows that the thermal displacement parameter at oxygen O1 has the largest value perpendicular to the B-B-vector, which corresponds to Liebau's observations.

The existence of the basic cell in  $CdB_2O_4$  is confirmed by electron diffraction. The electron diffraction pattern (along zone axis [001]) of  $CdB_2O_4$  (left) in comparison to that of  $SrP_2N_4$  (right) can be seen in Figure 7. The reciprocal unit cells of both phases are shown in solid lines. The dashed line in the right pattern ( $SrP_2N_4$ ) designates the basic cell. In contrast to the pattern of  $CdB_2O_4$ , where no additional reflections can be found, the pattern of  $SrP_2N_4$  clearly exhibits the supercell reflections.

www.chemeurj.org



Figure 7. Electron diffraction patterns (zone axis [001]) of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (left) and SrP<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (right).<sup>[38]</sup> The reciprocal unit cells are drawn in black solid lines. In dashed lines (right picture) the basic unit cell is drawn.

Thermal behaviour: Temperature-programmed X-ray powder diffraction investigations were performed to study the thermal stability and the metastable character of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Evidence to show that the compound remains stable up to a temperature of 600°C can bee seen in Figure 8. Between T = 600 and  $650 \,^{\circ}$ C, decomposition occurs into the normal-pressure borates Cd<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and CdB<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, and above 850 °C only an amorphous phase can be detected, which remains during and after cooling to room-temperature.

Structure analysis: First, the structure refinement of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> converged with residuals of R1 = 6.9%, wR2 = 19%. Additionally, a high electron density close to one oxygen atom remained. The consideration of twinning by merohedry and the introduction of a twofold rotation axis according to the twin matrix (010 100  $00\overline{1}$ ) led to a twin ratio of 0.55:0.45 with satisfactory residuals (R1 = 1.8%, wR2 = 3.9%) without any significant electron density. The checking of a possible higher symmetry, by using the program PLATON,<sup>[53]</sup> did not reveal an additional symmetry. Further details of the data collection and refinement are summarised in Table 3, atomic parameters are given in Table 4.

The powder diffraction pattern of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was obtained in transmission geometry from a flat sample, using a STOE



hexagonal

CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

Cd<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>

CdB407

CdB<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>

amorphous

phase(es)

structure refinement (Table 4). The lattice parameters, determined from the powder and the single crystal data agree well.

#### Conclusion

The high-pressure synthesis of the cadmium borate CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is an illustrating example for the introduction of new synthetic possibilities in long known borate systems by applying extreme synthetic conditions. Investigations into the physical properties of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, based on



12.0

 $2\theta / \circ (\lambda = 71.073 \text{pm})$ 

13.0

Table 3. Crystal data and structural refinement for CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (standard deviations in parantheses).

empirical formula	CdB <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
molar mass [gmol <sup>-1</sup> ]	198.02
crystal system	hexagonal
space group	P63
formula units per cell	8
single crystal diffractometer	CAD4 (Nonius)
radiation	$MoK_{\alpha}$
crystal size [mm <sup>3</sup> ]	$0.23 \times 0.18 \times 0.14$
T [K]	293
Single crystal data	
<i>a</i> [pm]	885.2(2)
<i>c</i> [pm]	716.72(8)
$V [nm^3]$	0.486(1)
Powder data	
<i>a</i> [pm]	886.64(3)
<i>c</i> [pm]	717.38(3)
<i>V</i> [nm <sup>3</sup> ]	0.488(1)
F (000)	720
absorption coefficient [mm <sup>-1</sup> ]	8.756
$\theta$ range [°]	$2.66 \le \theta \le 44.88$
range in hkl	$\pm 17, \pm 17, \pm 14$
scan type	$\omega/2\theta$
total no. reflections	16090
independent reflections	2691 $(R_{int} = 0.0365)$
reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	2598 ( $R_{\sigma} = 0.0167$ )
absorption correction	numerical
transm. ratio (max./min.)	0.4572/0.2449
extinction coefficient $\chi$	0.0600(9)
data/parameters	2691/87
largest difference peak/deepest hole $[e \text{ Å}^{-3}]$	0.985/-2.349
goodness-of-fit $(F^2)$	1.154
final R indices $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	R1 = 0.0163
	wR2 = 0.0383
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0178
	wR2 = 0.0388

www.chemeurj.org

9.0

10.0

11.0

20

200

400

600

800

1100

800

600

400

200

20

J./1

© 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

15.0

14.0

Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 6149-6154

6152

Table 4. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{eq}/Å^2$  for CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (space group P6<sub>3</sub>).  $U_{eq}$  is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalised  $U_{ij}$  tensor (standard deviations in parentheses).

Atom	Wyckoff position	x	у	Z,	$U_{eq}$
Cd1	6 <i>c</i>	0.48061(3)	0.95729(2)	0.54204(2)	0.00703(2)
Cd2	2a	0	0	0.56427(2)	0.00740(3)
B1	2b	2/3	1/3	0.7548(5)	0.0047(4)
B2	2b	2/3	1/3	0.3653(4)	0.0042(4)
B3	6 <i>c</i>	0.3273(2)	0.1522(4)	0.7318(3)	0.0045(4)
B4	6 <i>c</i>	0.1514(4)	0.8227(4)	0.8454(3)	0.0042(4)
O1	2b	2/3	1/3	0.5612(3)	0.0098(3)
O2	6 <i>c</i>	0.3289(2)	0.0899(2)	0.5378(2)	0.0053(2)
O3	6 <i>c</i>	0.18459(18)	0.0034(2)	0.8284(2)	0.0056(2)
O4	6 <i>c</i>	0.4927(2)	0.2048(2)	0.8307(2)	0.0051(2)
O5	6 <i>c</i>	0.2934(2)	0.2986(2)	0.7198(2)	0.0055(2)
O6	6 <i>c</i>	0.3069(2)	0.8106(2)	0.7942(2)	0.0041(2)

the non-centrosymmetric structure, are planned for the future. Especially the increase of the coordination number of boron from three to four in high-pressure borates allows the synthesis of denser borates with structural analogies to for example, aluminates, gallates, silicates and nitridophosphates. In this context, several new borates can be expected for the future.

#### **Experimental Section**

Synthesis of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: The compound CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was synthesised under high-pressure/high-temperature conditions of 7.5 GPa and 1100°C. As starting reagents, CdO and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Strem Chemicals, Newburyport, USA, 99+%) were ground together in the stoichiometric ratio  $CdO:B_2O_3 =$ 1:1. The mixture was filled into a boron nitride crucible (Henze BNP GmbH, HeBoSint $^{\circledast}$ S10, Kempten, Germany) of an 18/11-assembly. The compound was compressed and heated by means of a multianvil assembly, based on a Walker-type module and a 1000 t press (Voggenreiter, Mainleus, Germany). Therefore, the boron nitride crucible was positioned inside the centre of an 18/11 assembly, which was compressed by eight tungsten carbide cubes (TSM-10, Ceratizit, Reutte, Austria) with truncated corners. A detailed description of the assembly can be found in refs. [56-60]. For the synthesis of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the sample was compressed within 3 h to 7.5 GPa. After reaching constant pressure, the sample was heated to 1100°C in the following 10 min (cylindrical graphite furnace). After holding this temperature for 5 min, the sample was cooled down to 650 °C in another 15 min. Afterwards, the sample was guenched to room temperature by switching off the heating, followed by decompression over a period of 9 h. The recovered pressure medium (MgO octahedron) was broken apart and the sample carefully separated from the surrounding boron nitride crucible, gaining the air- and water-resistant, colourless, crystalline compound CdB2O4. A powder diffraction pattern showed no crystalline impurities, and EDX measurements confirmed the chemical composition of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

**Single crystal X-ray diffraction**: Prior to single crystal measurements, the quality of selected crystals was checked under a polarizing microscope. For the data collection, a colourless fragment  $(0.23 \times 0.18 \times 0.14 \text{ mm})$  was used. Intensity data were measured on a CAD4 diffractometer (Nonius) in the  $\omega/2\theta$  technique, scanning the whole reciprocal sphere up to  $90^{\circ}/2\theta$ . A numerical absorption correction, based on an optimisation of the crystal shape, was applied using the program HABITUS.<sup>[61]</sup> The crystal structure of CdB<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was solved by direct methods in space group *P*6<sub>3</sub> and refined with the SHELXTL program package.<sup>[62,63]</sup> Additional information

of the crystal structure investigation may be obtained from the Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, 76344 Eggenstein Leopoldshafen, Germany (fax: +49 7247 808 666; e-mail: crystdata@fiz-karlsruhe.de), on quoting the depository number CSD-419180.

Temperature programmed X-ray powder diffraction: Temperature programmed X-ray powder diffraction experiments were performed on a STOE STADI P powder diffractometer [MoK<sub>a</sub>] radiation ( $\lambda$ = 71.073 pm)] with a computer controlled STOE furnace. The sample was enclosed in a silica capillary and heated from room temperature to 500 °C in 100 °C steps, and from 500 °C to 1100 °C in 50 °C steps. Afterwards, the sample was cooled down to 500 °C in 50 °C steps, and from 500 °C to room temperature in 100 °C steps. After each heating step, a diffraction pattern was recorded over the angular range 8°  $\leq 2\theta \leq 16^\circ$ .

**Electron diffraction experiments**: Electron diffraction was carried out on a FEI Titan 80–300, equipped with a field emission gun, operating at 300 kV. The sample was ground in an agate mortar and dispersed in ethyl alcohol suspension. A small amount of the suspension was subsequently dispersed on a copper grid, coated with holey carbon film. The grids were mounted on a double tilt holder with a maximum tilt angle of 30° and subsequently transferred into the microscope. The diffraction patterns were recorded on a Gatan UltraScan 1000 P CCD camera.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the continuous support of this work by Prof. Dr. W. Schnick, Department Chemie and Biochemie of the Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich (LMU). Special thanks go to T. Miller for the temperature-programmed in situ X-ray diffraction experiments. This work was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft HU 966/2–3. H. H. is indebted to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

- H. Huppertz, B. von der Eltz, R.-D. Hoffmann, H. Piotrowski, J. Solid State Chem. 2002, 166, 203.
- [2] H. Emme, C. Despotopoulou, H. Huppertz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2004, 630, 1717.
- [3] H. Emme, C. Despotopoulou, H. Huppertz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2004, 630, 2450.
- [4] G. Heymann, T. Soltner, H. Huppertz, Solid State Sci. 2006, 8, 821.
- [5] A. Haberer, G. Heymann, H. Huppertz, Z. Naturforsch. B 2007, 62, 759.
- [6] J. S. Knyrim, J. Friedrichs, S. Neumair, F. Roeßner, Y. Floredo, S. Jakob, D. Johrendt, R. Glaum, H. Huppertz, *Solid State Sci.* 2007, 10, 168.
- [7] H. Huppertz, G. Heymann, Solid State Sci. 2003, 5, 281.
- [8] H. Huppertz, Z. Naturforsch. B 2003, 58, 257.
- [9] H. Emme, M. Weil, H. Huppertz, Z. Naturforsch. B 2005, 60, 815.
- [10] J. S. Knyrim, P. Becker, D. Johrendt, H. Huppertz, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 8419; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 8239.
- [11] J. S. Knyrim, F. M. Schappacher, R. Pöttgen, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, D. Johrendt, H. Huppertz, *Chem. Mater.* 2007, 19, 254.
- [12] J. S. Knyrim, H. Huppertz, J. Solid State Chem. 2007, 180, 742.
- [13] J. S. Knyrim, H. Huppertz, Z. Naturforsch. B 2008, 63, in press.
- [14] H. Huppertz, B. von der Eltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9376.
- [15] H. Huppertz, Z. Naturforsch. B 2003, 58, 278.
- [16] H. Huppertz, H. Emme, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2004, 16, 1283.
- [17] H. Emme, H. Huppertz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002, 628, 2165.
- [18] H. Emme, H. Huppertz, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 3623.
- [19] H. Emme, H. Huppertz, Acta Crystallogr. 2005, A61, i29.
- [20] J. S. Knyrim, F. Roeßner, S. Jakob, D. Johrendt, I. Kinski, R. Glaum, H. Huppertz, *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, *119*, 9256; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9097.
- [21] M. Ihara, J. Krogh-Moe, Acta Crystallogr. 1966, 20, 132.
- [22] H. Bartl, W. Schuckmann, Neues Jahrb. Mineral. Monatsh. 1966, 142.

#### CHEMISTRY

#### A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

- [23] S. C. Abrahams, J. L. Bernstein, P. Gibart, R. C. Sherwood, J. Chem. Phys. 1974, 60, 1899.
- [24] J. L. C. Rowsell, N. J. Taylor, L. F. Nazar, J. Solid State Chem. 2003, 174, 189.
- [25] M. Martinez-Ripoll, S. Martinez-Carrera, S. Garcia-Blanco, Acta Crystallogr. 1971, B27, 672.
- [26] M. Weil, Acta Crystallogr. 2003, E59, i40.
- [27] Y. Laureiro, M. L. Veiga, M. L. Lopez, S. Garcia-Martin, A. Jerez, C. Pico, *Powder Diffr.* 1991, 6, 28.
- [28] Y.-H. Zhao, X.-A. Chen, X.-A. Chang, J.-L. Zuo, M. Li, Acta Crystallogr. 2007, E63, i50.
- [29] S. V. Berger, Acta Chem. Scand. 1949, 3, 660.
- [30] M. Weil, Acta Crystallogr. 2003, E59, i95.
- [31] G. C. Guo, W. D. Cheng, J. T. Chen, J. S. Huang, Q. E. Zhang Acta Crystallogr. 1995, C51, 351.
- [32] V. Kahlenberg, R. X. Fischer, J. B. Parise, J. Solid State Chem. 2000, 154, 612.
- [33] A. P. Khomyakov, G. N. Nechelyustov, E. Sokolova, E. Bonaccorsi, S. Merlino, M. Pasero, *Can. Mineral.* 2002, 40, 961.
- [34] P. A. Sandomirskii, S. S. Meshalkin, I. V. Rozhdestvenskaya, L. N. Dem'yanets, T. G. Uvarova, *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.* 1986, *31*, 522.
- [35] G. Lampert, R. Boehme, Z. Kristallogr. 1986, 176, 29.
- [36] M. Lujan, F. Kubel, H. Schmid, Z. Naturforsch. B 1994, 49,1256.
- [37] F. W. Karau, *PhD. thesis*, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, **2007**.
- [38] F. W. Karau, L. Seyfarth, O. Oeckler, J. Senker, K. Landskron, W. Schnick, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2007, 13, 6841.
- [39] F. Liebau, Structural Chemistry of Silicates, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [40] The expression "sechser" ring was contrived by Liebau<sup>[39]</sup> and refers to the German word sechs, which means six. A "sechser" ring is not a six-membered ring, but a ring built up from six tetrahedral centres (B) and six bridging atoms (O), thus consisting of 12 atoms. Similar terms exist for rings built up from three, four and five tetrahedral centres (and the corresponding number of bridging atoms), namely "dreier", "vierer" and "fünfer" rings.
- [41] E. Zobetz, Z. Kristallogr. 1990, 191, 45.

- [42] F. C. Hawthorne, P. C. Burns, J. D. Grice, in: Boron: Mineralogy,
- Petrology and Geochemistry, 2 ed.; E. S. Grew; L. M. Anovitz, (Eds.) Mineralogical Society of America: Washington, 1996, 33, 41.
  [43] I. D. Brown, D. Altermatt, *Acta Crystallogr.* 1985, *B41*, 244.
- [44] N.E. Drown, D. Mitermatt, *Field Crystallog*, **1965**, *B*+1, 244
- [44] N. E. Brese, M. O'Keeffe, *Acta Crystallogr.* 1991, *B47*, 192.
  [45] R. Hoppe, S. Voigt, H. Glaum, J. Kissel, H. P. Müller, K. Bernet, J.
- [45] K. Hoppe, S. Vogt, H. Glaun, J. Kissel, H. F. Muller, K. Bernet, J. Less-Common Met. 1989, 156, 105.
- [46] J. D. Odom, A. J. Zozulin, S. A. Johnston, J. R. Durig, J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 201, 351.
- [47] K. L. Geisinger, G. V. Gibbs, A. Navrotsky, Phys. Chem. Miner. 1985, 11, 266.
- [48] W. H. Baur, T. Ohta, Acta Crystallogr. 1982, B38, 390.
- [49] Y. I. Smolin, Y. F. Shepelev, A. P. Titov, Sov. Phys. Crystallogr., 1973, 17, 749.
- [50] K. Heidebrecht, M. Jansen, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1982, 597, 79.
- [51] H. Huppertz, W. Schnick, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2765; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 2651.
- [52] F. Liebau, Acta Crystallogr. 1984, A40 Supplement, C254.
- [53] A. K. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 7.
- [54] J. W. Visser, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1969, 2, 89.
- [55] STOE & CIE GmbH, WinX<sup>POW</sup> Software, Darmstadt, Germany, 1998.
- [56] D. Walker, M. A. Carpenter, C. M. Hitch, Am. Mineral. 1990, 75, 1020.
- [57] D. Walker, Am. Mineral. 1991, 76, 1092.
- [58] H. Huppertz, Z. Kristallogr. 2004, 219, 330.
- [59] D. C. Rubie, Phase Transitions 1999, 68, 431.
- [60] N. Kawai, S. Endo, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 1970, 8, 1178.
- [61] W. Herrendorf, HABITUS. Program for optimisation of the crystal shape for the numerical absorption correction. Universities of Karlsruhe and Gießen, Germany, 1997.
- [62] Bruker-AXS. SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 1997.
- [63] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112.

Received: March 18, 2008 Published online: June 3, 2008

6154 -

Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 6149-6154